WISCONSIN WORKS (W-2) CONTRACT AND IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

201 E. Washington Avenue, GEF 1, Room D203
Madison, WI

Friday, November 16, 2001 10:00 AM - 2:00 PM

MINUTES

The W-2 Contract and Implementation Committee is the single point of contact for feedback to the Department of Workforce Development on policy implementation related to W-2 agencies, and includes representation from the Wisconsin County Human Service Association (WCHSA), Urban Caucus counties, W-2 private agencies in Milwaukee County and the balance of state, and Tribal W-2 agencies.

COMMITTEE: Members (Present = X)

Alternates (Present = X)

	Eric BakerDWS/AO William B. AdamsRacine County Jon AngeliSouthwest Consortium		Jan Alft Marathon County Linda Brandenburg ESI Marcia Christiansen Forward Services Corp.
Χ	Phyllis A. Bermingham Marathon County	X	Cheryl Cobb UMOS
X	Mona GarlandOIC		Doris GreenOIC
	Tina KoehnUMOS	X	Deb Hughes Southwest Consortium
Χ	Teresa Pierce Workforce Connections		Edward Kamin III Kenosha County
	Laverne Plucinski Bad River Chippewa		Richard L. Kammerud Polk County
	Jewel ReichertFond du Lac County	X	James Krivsky Racine County
	Adelene Robinson Kenosha County	X	Barbara Metoxen Oneida Nation
Χ	Shirley RossLa Crosse County		Tom Miller La Crosse County
Χ	Liz GreenDane County	X	Rita RennerYW Works
Χ	Jerry StepaniakMAXIMUS		Chris Schmitz Fond du Lac County
	Julia TaylorYW Works		•
	Glynis Underwood ESI		
Χ	Michael Van DykeDoor County		

State Staff

Attendees: Gary Denis, DWS/BWP

Roger Kautz, DWS/BPS Ginevra Ewers, DWS/BDS Kelly Bablitch, Legislative Aid Paul Saeman, DWD/BWI

Paul Saeman, DVVD/BVVI

Rose Lynch, ASD

Gerry Mayhew DWS/BWP Pat McDonnell, DWD/AO

Guests: Lavada Williams, OIC

Jane Batha, Curtis & Asso. John Wilberding, MAXIMUS

Char Manowski, OIC Jim Nitz, Kaiser

Recorder: Jayne Wanless, DWS/BWP

Victoria Carreon, LFB

Nancy Buckwalter, DWS/BPS

Jude Morse, DWS/BPS Mary Rowin, DWS/AO Tim Hineline, DWS

Dianne Reynolds, DWS/BWP Joann Schmitt, DWS/BPS

Erin Fath, DOA

Shirley Kitchen, Dodge Co. DHS

Carol Medaris, WCCF Marilyn Putz, Walworth Co. Dick Buschmann, MCDHS

Welcome

Mary Rowin welcomed the group members, staff and guests and explained that Eric Baker is at a conference in Wisconsin Dells. Ms. Rowin informed the group that she attended her first meeting of the Department of Health and Family Services Faith Based Advisory Council and plans to become a regular member. Ms. Rowin

surveyed the group to see if members were interested in receiving a copy of the final DWD and DWS strategic plans. The committee members voiced an interest in receiving the printed information.

The group reviewed a draft Administrator's Memo on Employee Bonuses. A subcommittee from the C&I committee and DWD staff generated suggestions to address instances of employee bonuses indicated in the Legislative Audit Bureau report. Ideas from the C&I subcommittee on this topic are included in the memo. Members reviewed the conditions that must be met and submitted to the Department for approval before a bonus can be issued.

Minutes Approval

Jim Krivsky made a motion to approve the October's meeting minutes and was seconded by Liz Green; by unanimous vote the motion carried.

Issue/Discussion: Contract Update, Jude Morse, DWS/BPS

Ms. Morse joined the group in person to report on the 2002-2003 contracts. All appeals have been resolved and the original decisions remain unchanged. The Department issued a document recently to the Area Administrators outlining changes in the contract due to action taken during the biennial budget process and other miscellaneous changes. The responses to the October 3rd, 2001 conference call will be issued in an Operations Memo. DWS is updating the old DES and DWE websites; the update includes a 2002-2003 Contract page with all the finalized documents.

Mr. Van Dyke asked if the contract would have additional changes due to a letter from Senator Moore's office. Senator Moore's letter addresses carrying money over from one contract to another and Milwaukee Regional Boundaries. Ms. Rowin explained that the letter has to do with start-up costs with a few agencies; the 2002-2003 contracts do not include an allocation for start up. The Department is reviewing start-up plans to see if expenditures are necessary. The Legislature hopes to use remaining monies in the current contracts for a contingency fund for the 2002-2003 contract period.

Ms. Bermingham pointed out in a recent article the Legislative Audit Bureau does not recognize any underfunding in the current contract. Ms. Rowin says the Department is waiting for final numbers because tracking method vary among agencies. Some agencies know they will have funds left over in their current contracts and have volunteered to de-obligate funds to cover underfunding in the UMOS contract.

Issue/Discussion: Feedback on the Performance Standards Symposium, Mary Rowin, DWS/AOMary Rowin said the evaluations from the symposium were not good. She added the facilities were not set up for that many people, the sound system did not work, and the panel was not able to answer questions that required research and time to develop answers. In the future, DWD needs to look at the difference between managers' and line staff's needs.

Ms. Rowin requested input on how members and guests would like information shared in the future. The responses included:

- Key people need to be present and be able to follow up on questions the same day
- Split the day between CARES technical information and management information
- Smaller groups
- More time needed for each issue
- The symposium was not bad; some members voiced they have been to worse
- Have questions submitted ahead of time
- Pick speakers carefully and make sure they know the audience
- Need to include specifics
- Send out the Information Map ahead of time, make sure the contract matches the Information Map
- Use regional meetings
- Start technical discussion sooner (1-2 months)
- Use DXBMs to communicate
- Use ETNs to save travel time and expense and follow-up at regional meetings

Gerry Mayhew informed the committee that the new worker stream will include a new course on how to report performance standards. It will start in March. Tony Gejits will provide a 6-hour training for supervisors on performance standards. This will be available in every region in March and April. A member requested that experts on the performance standards travel to each region. Another member requested that the training include a walk through of the Information Map for line staff along with the CARES technical information.

Issue/Discussion: CARES Strategic Plan, Pat McDonnell, DWS/AO

Pat McDonnell asked for feedback on the CARES Strategic Plan presented at the October meeting. The feedback included:

- Concerns with the separation of the work program system and the income matenance; a person would need two workers.
- Suggestion to broaden access but limit links
- Separate office number for WAA
- A worker-friendly system that can be used by non-profits and private agencies
- For some programs people may be able to sign-up on the internet; make sure these interconnect
- Make sure tribes continue to have access to the IRS data exchange
- Start with a whole new up-to-date system that a new worker could learn in a short period of time (references to painting horse black and white does not make it a Zebra or dressing up a pig does not make it anything more than a pig)
- Take out the redundancy

The next step in the Strategic Planning Process is for a collaborative group of technical people to look at CARES. In the spring they will start looking at:

- Program Autonomy
- Registration
- Clearance
- Data Collection in the driver flow
- Conceptual model for work program functions

The old program will not be taken down to put in a new system. DWD is preparing to update the system by moving to a relationship mainframe, to an Internet main frame, consistent with the business structure of the contract agencies.

Issue/Discussion: W-2 Milwaukee Advisory Panel, Mary Rowin, DWS/AO

Prior to the meeting, the committee received a copy of the Department's Response to the Milwaukee Advisory Panel for review. The committee questioned the amount of involvement they will have in the statewide suggestions. Ms. Rowin commented that C&I would be involved in the recommendations that affect the entire state. DWD will put together a summary chart indicating which recommendations are Milwaukee only and which are statewide. A member voiced concern over the advisory panel's recommendation to change from 30 to 60 days for re-evaluation of a CMS placement as it would increase workload. The member said this could be a big change depending on the language used in the policy. Members questioned whether a change would only be issued in a new manual release or if agencies would get a heads-up memo. The committee requested information on how the policy change would be issued, when the policy change would be implemented, if a change is up for discussion and whether a change needs legislative approval before recommendations can be acted on added to the summary chart.

Ms. Bablitch commented that the Joint Finance Committee (JFC) sent a letter to DWD requesting any plan for an open district come before JFC for approval. DWD agreed to implement the recommendations but the logistics have not been worked out, including the funding. A committee member questioned whether the Advisory Panel supports an open district.

The committee likes the idea of a working family initiative but members voiced concern about using WAA funds for the project. Ms. Rowin explained the Annie E. Casey Foundation approached the Department after the establishment of the advisory panel with interest in funding certain projects to research the result. This project would be a pilot program to measure the impact of training and education on job advancement.

At the moment some of the advisory panel suggestions are on hold due to budgetary concerns. The budget office estimates the state is \$300 million to \$1.3 billion short; from the *Wheeler Report* it looks like it's closer to the higher number.

Issue/Discussion: Caseload Increases Project and Extension Data, Nancy Buckwalter, DWS/BPS, Paul Saeman, DWS/BWI

The Secretary's Office commissioned a group to study the increase in caseload and what's influencing the increase. Mr. Krivsky, Ms. Bermingham and Roger Williams from OIC are local agency representatives on this group. Ms. Buckwalter added the Department has a large amount of information and now needs to analyze the information for trends. The group decided to look at 10 areas around the state and more finite areas in Milwaukee. Around the state the fluctuations vary greatly, with a 50% caseload decrease in LaCrosse, a 25% increase in Marathon and an 89% increase in Outagamie. The group will look at many factors including:

- W-2 Payment or Non-payment Cases
- Childcare Cases
- FSET Cases
- High school diplomas
- Disabilities in the household
- W-2 Sanctions
- Small Agency Supplement
- Contract dollars
- Comprehensive economic look at UI claims, New Hires, Job Losses
- Number of entered employments
- Average wage rate

The group decided to produce a plan by the end of November. The plan will include an action plan, i.e., actions to take if the caseload continues to rise. The committee members question the types of action the DWD is considering taking if the caseload continues to increase. The Department is considering a wide range of options including:

- Addressing the allocation amount to the number in the current caseload
- Moving administrative costs to benefits
- Establishing a contingency fund
- Approaching the legislature

One member suggested looking at the increase in the CMC caseload and policies around this population and reiterating the work first philosophy. Others stated the economic downturn has influenced their area and jobs are not available. Ms. Rowin plans to commission a study on the CMC caseload to see the reason for the increase in certain areas.

Members suggested the Department look at additional variables in the study. The ideas consist of:

- Looking at participants' characteristics such as barriers
- Recruiting regional staff to interview local agency heads to get a local perspective
- Hosting a meeting of local personnel to determine how to address impact of higher caseloads on staff

Ms. Buckwalter responded the Department is using the information currently available and CARES does not drill down to specifics. The Department is including demographics such as age, growth in placements and education levels.

Mr. Saeman provided a user-friendly summary report on both the 24- and 60-month extension cases. The research and statistics area originally created the report for Rachael Biittner in the communications office. Members remarked that the information is helpful and requested that both the 24-month and 60-month information mirror each other. Mr. Saeman stated that his staff is creating a data base to capture participants that hit the 21st month so future information will include the number that hit 24 months, the number of individuals who requested an extension and the number that were granted an extension.

Issue/Discussion: 2002 Required Training for Wisconsin Works and Related Workers, Gerry Mayhew, DWS/BPS

Ms. Mayhew passed out a draft Administrator's Memo for the members to review. This memo separates the W-2 required training from other programs and outlines reporting responsibilities of the agencies. DWD is developing a template to register for training online and to keep track on the register. Contact Ms. Mayhew if you have any comments, questions or concerns about the draft memo. The final memo will be available at next month's meeting.

Issue/Discussion: C&I Revitalization Plan, Mary Rowin, DWS/AO

Ms. Rowin asked for feedback on the concept paper that was sent to members prior to the November meeting. A summary of the members' comments are listed below:

- Every member requested monthly meetings, members voiced that issues change and need to be resolved in short time frames; quarterly is not enough.
- Focus on policy, program evaluation and assessment
- One suggestion to address the Poverty Standard.
- The regional structure is great but staff doesn't have enough authority and would not be a strong communication source.
- Some members thought the concept paper was a step to end the committee.
- Members agreed the committee would want to be involved with Strategic Planning.
- C&I committee should represent the State with similar membership.
- A suggestion for the Department to partner with the committee for TANF Re-Authorization.
- C&I is the only place where small counties and Milwaukee Regions collaborate.
- Members agree to look at higher level issues.
- Members liked the formal subcommittee structure to work out recommendations and bring them back to the full committee.
- A suggestion for joint C&I and IMAC meeting (IMAC for an hour in the morning, IMAC and C&I for the next hour and C&I for the last hour and a half).
- The Department should not only listen but also act on suggestions.
- Members liked the removal of standard reports.
- Agencies, with contracts in other states, commented C&I allows the Department to stay in touch with local agency issues, definite benefit for a progressive program. Contracted agencies that work with other states who don't have a group similar to C&I are out of touch with local agencies' issues and programs, which keeps other states from moving forward.
- A member suggested having a 2004-2005 contract sub-committee, to negotiate the next contract.
- C&I committee provides input on implementation and feedback on how strategies work.
- It's important to have the Division Administrator chair the committee.

Issue/Discussion: Best Practices Discussion

There was no discussion in this area.

Issue/Discussion: OTHER

There was no discussion in this area.

NEXT MEETING DATE: December 21, 2001

10:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m. 201 East Washington Ave.

GEF 1, Room 203D

Madison, WI